

Willows Intermediate School
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year
Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Willows Intermediate School
Street	1145 West Cedar Street
City, State, Zip	Willows, CA 95988
Phone Number	(530) 934-6633
Principal	Steve Sailsbery
E-mail Address	ssailsbery@willowsunified.org
Web Site	
CDS Code	11-62661-6007611

District Contact Information	
District Name	Willows Unified School District
Phone Number	530.934.6600
Superintendent	Mort Geivett, Ed.D.
E-mail Address	kschmies@willowsunified.org
Web Site	www.willowsunified.org

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Willows Intermediate School (WIS) is in the Willows Unified School District serving all 6, 7, and 8 grade students in the community. WIS is dedicated to maximizing the expertise and human potential of every teacher because we recognize that an exemplary staff, working as partners with parents, is the key to student development. WIS is a school-wide program striving to meet the needs of all students. With the influx of a variety of cultures, our school faces the challenge of providing for those divergent needs of our total school population. It is the philosophy of the school there be high expectations for all of its students; a sense of collegiality, community and high standards for order and discipline; and support and involvement of parents in the school. We refer to ourselves as a team, specifically, Team WIS and with that: Team WIS works collaboratively and strives to involve parents and the community to inspire students to achieve their greatest potential in order to Succeed!

It is the desire of our school community to provide a safe and enriched, student learning environment where:

- All students are unique and important.
- Education is a shared responsibility – requiring cooperation among the student, home, school, and community.
- All students can learn – given needed time and support.
- Learning is a lifelong process – requiring self-motivation, self-direction, flexibility, and adaptability.
- All students deserve a safe, caring environment in which to learn – promoting self-esteem, tolerance, and compassion.
- All students need to be problem solvers – having the ability to find, use, and evaluate information.
- Cooperation is vital for a productive role in society – requiring sensitivity, appreciation, and mutual respect.
- High expectations are essential for individuals to achieve their potential.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	110
Grade 7	113
Grade 8	124
Total Enrollment	347

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	3.2
Asian	5.2
Filipino	0.3
Hispanic or Latino	47.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	42.7
Two or More Races	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65.7
English Learners	20.2
Students with Disabilities	9.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	15	14	14	61
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	7

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00	0.00
All Schools in District	100.00	0.00
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.00	0.00

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: 05-08-2014

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	6th grade - CA Treasures - McGraw Hill 7th grade - CA Treasures - McGraw Hill 8th grade - Second Course - Holt Lit. and Lang Arts (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) CA Treasures - McGraw Hill	Yes	0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Mathematics	6th grade - Holt California - Course 1 - Numbers to Algebra (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) 7th & 8th grade - Pre-Alg Holt California - Course 2 (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) 7th grade - CPM (College Preparatory Mathematics) 8th grade - Carneige Mathematics 8th grade - Algebra I (Holt, Rinehart and Winston)	Yes	0
Science	6th, 7th, 8th - Focus on Earth Science/Focus on Life Science/Focus on Physical Science Glenncoe/McGraw	Yes	0
History-Social Science	6th, 7th, 8th grade - Ancient Civilization/Medieval to Early Modern Times/U.S. History Independence (Harcourt)	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 10-08-2014				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	49	49	46	48	39	44	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	44
All Student at the School	46
Male	55
Female	38
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	34
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	56
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	32
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	48	53	51	42	43	42	54	56	55
Mathematics	46	45	36	35	34	30	49	50	50
History-Social Science	36	33	34	31	24	29	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	4	4	4
Similar Schools	1	4	6

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	-15	17	-6
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-34	27	-1
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	10	14	-19
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-24	32	-1
English Learners	-28	29	-20
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5			
7	11.9	32.1	30.3

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

We welcome parent involvement at Willows Intermediate School. Research shows that students whose parents are involved in their education generally are more successful in school and in life. You have many opportunities to become involved in your student's education through:

- Parent conferences
- Back to School Night
- Parent Booster Club
- English Language Advisory Meetings
- School Site Council
- Parent volunteer participation
- Fall magazine drive
- 8th grade graduation fundraisers

Please contact the school principal, Steve Sailsbery at 934-6633, to find out how you can participate.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	2.6	7.8	8.3	3.3	5.6	4.00	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure – Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire, earthquake, and the Code Red Lockdown each semester. Teachers and students are familiar with the procedures. Safety is a high priority at Willows Intermediate School. The plan was reviewed and updated September, 2014.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall		
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics		

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	100.0

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2011-12				2012-13				2013-14			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K												
1												
2												
3												
4												
5	28.3	0	19	0								
6	28.4	0	19	0	21	10	18	1	21	7	21	
Other												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2011-12				2012-13				2013-14			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	21	6	7	0	20	8	8		21	7	9	
Mathematics	23.6	3	6	0	24	3	4	3	18	6	4	3
Science	27	2	4	1	35		2	4	21	4	4	1
Social Science	27	1	5	1	30	1	1	5	24	3	1	5

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.80	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.488	---
Psychologist		---
Social Worker		---
Nurse	0.32	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		---
Resource Specialist		---
Other		---

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$7,919	\$1,152	\$6,767	\$78,082
District	---	---	\$30,771	\$71,574
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-78.0	9.1
State	---	---	\$4,690	\$57,912
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	44.3	34.8

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

We are required to report financial data from the 2011-12 school year by the California Dept. of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office. It is important to note when comparing Teacher and Administrative Salaries, these salaries include BOSS (Benefits on Salary Schedule).

Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2011-12 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

All students in grades five through eight have access to daily intervention classes in language arts and mathematics. Interventions are held before school, after school, and during the lunch time and are funded by Title I and Economic Impact Aid (EIA).

The supplemental programs are supported by restricted dollars employing one teacher, one counselor, and one paraprofessional and these programs are paid from Title I, Economic Impact Aid (EIA), and Rural and Low Income grants.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,393	\$38,152
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,928	\$55,573
Highest Teacher Salary	\$81,837	\$71,908
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$101,061	\$87,660
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,274	\$92,424
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$111,584	\$93,606
Superintendent Salary	\$125,308	\$116,538
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39	34
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	7

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Tehama, Butte, and Glenn County Offices of Education provide extensive opportunities for additional learning to include: Leadership Matters Workshop Series, Professional Learning Communities Training, and CA Treasures - McGraw Hill - Curriculum Training. Every other Wednesday is a schoolwide minimum day allowing teachers time to develop Professional Learning Communities and collaboration time.